

2003
Pmc

U.O. No. 18 P.B. 6/758, dated the 14th March 1929
from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

A further communication from the Pay and Accounts
Officer may be awaited.

P.V. Krishnamammy

[Signature]

21-3-29.

Endorsement No. P.B. 6/8808, dated the 10th June 1929; from
the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

S.No. 5

Pmc

May 5 ①

Please see S No 3 and P.U.C.

A further communication may be awaited from the Pay
and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

When a further
communication is
received file 57/27
to be put up for
action in that
file also.

P.V. Krishnamammy

19-6-29

[Signature]

Please see S Nos. 3 and 5.

②
Draft to the Pay and Accounts Officer submitted.

P.V. Krishnamammy

4-11-29.

[Signature]
9/11/11

May 5 & T ①

②
Not issued -
Reply received on
4-11-29

mx
12/11/29

U.S. no. 6
pne

U.O. Note No. P.B. 190, dated the 1st November, 1929,
from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

Please see 8 Nos. 3 and 5 and P.U.C.

A copy of P.U.C. and enclosures may be sent U.O. to the Inspector of Schools who may be requested to submit a draft of suitable amendments to the Grant-in-Aid Code for Indian Schools in the C and M Station of Bangalore.

linked file for
favours of orders.

P.V. Krishnamurthy $\frac{18}{6/11}$

5.11.29.

$\frac{18}{1812/29}$

scrip
P. V. Krishnamurthy
H. G. S. R. S.
13.11.29

Submitted.

PKC
2.12.29
scrip

To the Inspector of Schools, Coorg and Bangalore, U.O.
No. 11902 Dated 20th December, 1929.

20.337/4-24
10 2 30
(7) (9)

I propose that the rules be recast as follows:

- "32. Income from private sources. The grant will be considered as supplementing the income derived from endowments, subscriptions, donations and other private sources, if any, and fees collected from the pupils, with arrears, if any, collected during the year.
33. Fixing the allotment. The grant will be allotted to each institution as follows: the expenditure incurred by the management on items 1 to 6 of the expenditure side of the Financial Statement (Appendix F) of the school for the previous year will be considered as the "admissible expenditure." On the income side of the Financial Statement fees will be calculated as follows:
- (a) Amount of entrance fees collected- Col. 14 of the Fee Return.
 - (b) Amount of fees collected at half rates-- Col 11 of the Fee Return.
 - (c) Amount of fees collected at full rates in standards I to IV.. col. 9 of the Fee Return.
 - (d) Amount of 4/5ths of fees in classes above the IV standard.. col. 9 of the Fee Return.
 - (e) Amount of arrear fees, if any, collected during the year.

May $\frac{x}{3}$

The grant earned by the school will be half of the difference between the "admissible expenditure" and the fee income as calculated above.

35. Amount of grant payable. The amount of grant payable in any year will ordinarily be the amount earned after taking into consideration the amount contributed by the management for the upkeep of the school as shown in cols. 2,3,5 and 6 of the Financial Statement for the previous year.

May $\frac{x}{3}$

The amount may be decreased should the expenditure be considered unreasonable or on account of deterioration in efficiency, or on account of failure to remedy defects in organisation, discipline, instruction, and accommodation pointed out in writing after a previous inspection, or as the effect of the operation of rules 24 or 36, or on account of insufficiency of budget grants intended for the purpose.

(9)

$\frac{x}{3}$

Higher grants may be sanctioned in exceptional circumstances such as payment of grants towards improvement of pay and prospects of the staff, appointment of staff for special subjects, ~~such~~ such as music, manual training etc., or where the ~~management~~ net cost to the management on the school (the total expenditure on the school minus the income, Appendix F) ^x, is so heavy that the management is unable to bear it unaided, provided that in no case are Government grants made a source of profit to the management.

The grants under this rule are sanctioned by the Hon'ble the Resident."

(10)

1593
11/2/30

$\frac{10}{11/2}$

As regards the question of a grant to the Goodwill Girls' High School for the entertainment of a music teacher, sub para 3 of rule 35 mentioned above, makes sufficient provision for such a case, and so it is not necessary to consider it separately.

K. Ramadas

37 11

Limited
file 57/1927 recd
not go to the
Pay & Accts office

13/2/30

H.G. No. 1395
14th February 1930

12

THE PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICE
Date 15 FEB 1930
Current No 168
File 57/1927
S no 6
May 1

13/2/30
OFFICE
Mysore
2009
Date of Receipt 20/2/30
No. 40

Please see from para 8 of these notes.

The Pay and Accounts Officer may be asked U.O. whether he has any remarks to offer on the amendments proposed by the Inspector of Schools at para 9 of these notes and whether they require the sanction of the Government of India or can be sanctioned by the Resident.

P.V. Krishnamammy

13/2/30

13-2-30

Pay & Accts office u/o

No remarks. They require the sanction of the Government of India. Please see last para of this office U.O. No.P.B.190, dated 1-11-1929.

13/2/30

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, U.O. P.B.362, dated 18-2-1930.

(46)
J. no. 7
PUC
(13)
Mags 1, 2, S, T, I
(14)

Letter No. 384/4-24, dated the 15th February, 1930, from
the Inspector of Schools, Coorg and Bangalore.

Please see S Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 and P.U.C. and from
para 8 of these notes.

The grants recommended are the same as for last year
except that an increase of Rs. 550 is recommended for
Goodwill Girls' High School. In this connection, please see
S No. 8 in which Mr. Yates recommended an annual
additional grant of Rs. 600 to this School on account of the
appointment of a music teacher. Perhaps this increase may
be approved.

In para 4 of P.U.C., the Inspector says that the Pay and
Accounts Officer has no objection to the grants for the
current year being assessed according to the existing
practice subject to suitable amendments being made as early
as possible to the Grant-in-aid Code to accord with the
existing practice.

The grants have to be drawn before 31-3-1930 by the
Managers of the institutions.

Draft proceedings sanctioning the grants submitted.

As regards the amendments to the Grant-in-aid Code for
Indian Schools proposed by the Inspector of Schools at para
9 of these notes, a draft letter to the Govt of India will
be submitted for orders after the issue of the draft
proceedings sanctioning the grants.

P.V. Krishnamammy

21/2
3. 3. 20

Secretary 21-2-30

S No. 8 . Issued No. 1965, dated the 5th March, 1930.

Please

④ ①9

Please see para 18 of these notes.

Draft letter to the Govt of India submitted.

P.V. Krishnaswamy

18/5/30

5-3-30

~~Secretary~~ ②0

Pl. amend para. 2 of letter to show
in what way using Madras practice has
caused us to diverge from our own rules.
And surely this practice was incorrect?

14/30

②1

Para 2 of draft has been amended.

S.no. 6/a
May I/2

As regards the question whether the Madras practice was incorrect please see the last para of the letter of Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, in which he says that the whole question of the method of calculating teaching grants is under consideration of the Madras Government in view of the difficulty of strictly following the ruling of the Code and of the possibility of different interpretations of the wording.

P.V. Krishnaswamy

11-4-30

12-4-30

As. Secy.

14/4

(22)

Before issuing any letter recommending these changes to the Government of India, I think that we must find out how the Govt. of Madras have dealt with the problem - the Govt. of India are sure to ask us, if we do not.

(23)

Pl. draft ^{to Govt. of Madras} referring to Director of Public Instruction's letter of the 24th July 1929 to the P and A.O. and asking ^{them} what orders the Govt. of Madras have issued and saying that we shd like a copy to help the Resdt. in coming to a decision on a similar difficulty which has arisen here. If they have not ~~come~~ issued any orders, when is the matter likely to be settled.

GR
15.4.30

(24)

Draft letter to the Madras Govt submitted.

P.V. Krishnamammy

16.4.30.

GR
17.4.30

H.Q.
18/4.

GR
15.4.30

S No.10

Issued No.3525, dated 29-4-1930.

Letter No.1030 B-1, dated the ~~30~~ May, 1930, from the Govt. of Madras, Law and Education Department.

Please see paras 22 and 23 ante and S No.10, and ~~P.V.C.~~

A copy of the orders promised in P.U.C. may be awaited.

P.V. Krishnamammy

9.5.30.

GR
10/5

H.Q.
12/5

S. No. 11
P.V.C.

(25)

Mag
1/3

(23)

(26)

Please see S Nos, 10 and 11.

Draft reminder submitted.

5.1.30

P.V. Krishnamammy

17.6.30.

17.6.30

19.6.30

Secy.

Issued No.5000, dated the 20th June, 1930.

S.N. 12

pnc

Letter No.2124/4-24, dated the 8th July, 1930, from the
Inspector of Schools, Coorg and Bangalore.

(27)

S.N. 11

15.10.32

May 2

The Government of India have sanctioned an increase
in the annual grant to the St. Joseph's College from
Ra 10,000 to Ra 15,000 with effect from the 1st April, 1930.

The payment of the grant for 1930-31 may be sanctioned
under Rule 39 of the Grant-in-Aid Code for Indian Schools.

Draft submitted.

(28)

P.V. Krishnamammy

11.7.30.

11.7.30

Draft: prog. may issue?

11.7.30

14.7.30

AP
Secy.

S No.13

Issued No.5779, dated 22nd July, 1930.

(29)

Please see S Nos. 10 and 11.
Perhaps the Madras Government may be reminded.
Draft submitted.

P.V. Krishnamammy

15-9-30

16-9-30

17/9

AS

Issued No. 6379, dated 17-9-1930.

Pnc

Letter Ref. No. 2287 B-1, dated the 27th September, 1930
from the Govt. of Madras, Law (Education) Department.

(30)

Please see S Nos. 10 and 11 and the reminder at
Flag U.

A further communication from the Government of
Madras may be awaited up to 1-12-1930.

P.V. Krishnamammy

4-10-30

4-10-30
6-11-30

AS

(31)

Draft reminder submitted.

P.V. Krishnamammy

3-12-30

3-12-30
8-12-30

AS

Issued No. 9496, dated the 11th December, 1930.

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Letter No. 3195.R-1, dated the 5th January, 1931, from
the Government of Madras, Law (Education) Department.

Please see S N 10^x and 11 and the reminder at Flag H.

A further communication from the Govt. of Madras may
be awaited up to the first week of March, 1931.

P. V. Krishnamany

9.1.31

9.1.31

12.1.31

AS

(33)

Letter No. 213/4-24. dated the 22nd January 1931. from the
Inspector of Schools. Coorg and Bangalore.

Please see S N 7 and 8.

The ordinary and the special grants recommended for 1930-31 are the same as those for the last year in the case of the following schools:-

	Ordinary grant	Special grant
	Rs.	Rs.
R.B.A.N.M.'s High School	5,280 ✓	3,440 ✓
St. Joseph's Indian High School	4,960 ✓	3,510 ✓
C.V.S. Sabha School	2,500 ✓	820 ✓
St. Euphrasia's Training School	2,400 ✓	1,500 ✓

In the case of the following schools, an increase to the ordinary grant only is recommended as they are given less than they earn:-

	Amount for which the school is eligible.	Ordinary grant 1929-30	Ordinary grant 1930-31	Special grant
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Wesleyan Mission Boys' Secondary School.....	3,043 ✓	1,830 ✓	2,130 ✓	780 ✓
St. Aloysius Secondary School.....	3,142 ✓	2,190 ✓	2,390 ✓	640 ✓
Goodwill Girls' High School	6,445 ✓	3,400 ✓	3,680 ✓	2,120 ✓

The following schools have been recommended grants higher than those earned by them taking into consideration the net cost to the management, as to reduce the grants this year would mean undue hardship to them:-

	Grant for which the school is eligible for 1930-31	Net cost to management	ordinary and special grants paid in 1929-30	ordinary and special grant recommended for 1930-31
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
R.B.A.N.M.'s High School	6,609 ✓	1,640 ✓	8,720 ✓	8,720 ✓
St. Joseph's Indian High School.....	8,830 ✓	3,711 ✓	10,470 ✓	10,470 ✓
C.V.S. Sabha School	2,089 ✓	586 ✓	3,320 ✓	3,320 ✓
St. Euphrasias Training School.....	3,282 ✓	2,872 ✓	3,900 ✓	3,900 ✓

In this connection, please see the Inspector's remarks on page 8¹⁷ of the Inspection Report of the St. Aloysius Secondary School and the office notes thereon. The amount of special grant for the improvement of the pay and prospects of the teachers given to this school is Rs 640. For the current year the

May 6/2
 May 6/2
 May 6/2

29
the Inspector has recommended Rs. 200 more to this school under ordinary grant. If by next year the condition of the staff does not improve, the question of reducing the grant may be taken up in consultation with the Inspector of Schools.

If approved, the grants as recommended by the Inspector may be sanctioned.

S.N. 10011
The Govt. of India have not yet been addressed in regard to the amendment of rules 32, 33 and 35 of the Grant-in-Aid Code for Indian Schools in the C and M Station of Bangalore pending the receipt of the orders of the Govt. of Madras in regard to amendment of their own Code.

Draft submitted.

(From para. 33)

P. V. Krishnamurthy
27.1.31

29.1.31

3.2.31

I have amended para. 6. May
some ?

Depn

9L
4.2.31

6 T 5.2

S N 15

Issued No. 217, dated 9-2-1931.

Please see para 32 of these notes.

A draft reminder to the Madras Govt. submitted.

(It appears from pages 75 and 76 of Part I-B of the Fort St. George Gazette dated 3-2-1931 that the Madras Grant-in-Aid Code has been amended.)

P. V. Krishnamann

4-3-31.

B 573

9. 3. 81.

Issued No.1838, dated the 13th th March, 1931.

Letter No. 783B-1, dated the 24th March, 1931, from the
Govt. of Madras, Law (Education) Department.

S N 16

✓ Please see S N 3, 5, 6, 10 & 11 and from paras 8 to 12 and 19 to 24 of these notes.

The Madras Government have amended rules 23 and 32 to 35 of their Grant-in-Aid Code. We have to amend our Code similarly with the sanction of the Government of India.

A copy of the two Madras Government orders may be sent to the Inspector of Schools and he may be asked to submit a draft of suitable amendments to the Grant-in-Aid Code for Indian Schools in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore on the lines of the amendments made by the Govt. of Madras with an explanation showing the effect of the amendments which he proposes on the existing grants.

Draft submitted.

P. V. Krishnamurthy

1.4.31.

874

7.4.31

~~President~~

9 ¹⁰/₄
Bell
10-4

S N 17

Issued No. 2763, dated the 16th April, 1931.

S N 20

PUC

Letter No. P.B. 243, dated the 21st April, 1931, from the
Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

Please see S N 17.

A copy of P.U.C. may be sent to the Inspector of Schools
in continuation of S N 17.

Draft submitted.

P.V. Krishnamannu
25-4-31.

Issue

254

S N 21

ed No. 3078, dated 28-4-1931.

Copy to the Secretary to the Resident, Bangalore for information. The teaching grants payable do not appear to be worked out according to the rules in the Grant-in-aid Code for Indian Schools. The matter is being looked ^{into} and a report will be ~~submitted~~ ^{sanctioned} to you on receipt of a reply from the Inspector of Schools.

Shankararam

VDV.

PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICER.

The Secretary to the Resident, Bangalore.
U.O. No. P.B. 6/758 dated 14-3-29.

RESID.

20-2-29
Rs. 2800/-
date of 16/3/29

14th M a r c h 1929.

*S. N. 8
395-28
May P*

Please see Resident's Proceedings No. 1353 dated the 8th February 1929 sanctioning the payment of a special teaching grant of Rs. 2000/- to R. B. A. N. M.'s High School for the improvement of pay and prospects of teachers and Rs. 2760/- to the St. Joseph's Indian High School for the appointment of 3 Indian Graduates for the year 1928-29.

The manner of working out the grant, fixing the allotment and the amount of grant payable are laid down in paras 32, 33 and 35 of the Grant-in-aid Code for Indian Schools. The grant allotted to an institution will ordinarily be equal in amount to the income guaranteed to be annually provided under rule 32. The financial statements referred to in para 37 of the Code are being received by you. It is informally understood from your office that the grants are not being worked out in accordance with the above rules but the practice prevailing in Madras is being followed in this respect. Please say whether it is correct.

From the financial statements informally obtained from your office it is seen that the grants earned by the above two institutions during 1928-29 were as follows:-

St. Joseph's Indian High School. 7,539-8-9

R.B.A.N.M.'s High School. 5,703-0-0

But the following grants have been sanctioned to the institutions:

1. St. Joseph's Indian High School.	4960	Ordinary grant.	Resident's proceedings 799 dated 23-1-29
	2750	Improvement of pay and prospects of teachers.	
	2760	Appointment of 3 Indian Graduates—do—1353	
	10470		

2. R.B.A.N.M.'s High School.	5280	Ordinary grant	Resident's proceedings 799 dated 23-1-29
	1440	Improvement of pay and prospects of teachers.	
	2000	do—do—1353	
	8720		

It appears therefore that the total grant payable to each institution has not been limited to the grant earned by them as per financial statements.

In the case of St. Joseph's Indian High School the grant originally fixed took into account the increased pay given to the 3 Indian Graduates. Another grant in respect of these Graduates for the same period based on the same pay has been sanctioned in Resident's Proceedings No. 1353 dated the 8th February 1929. Please say whether the latter grant is admissible and if so under what rule?

S. 8. 8
7 345/28
May P

It is presumed that the grant originally sanctioned to the R.B.A.N. M. S. High School took into account the increased pay of the teachers for which another grant has been sanctioned in Resident's Proceedings 1353 dated the 8th February 1929. Please say whether my presumption is correct. In this connection it is pointed out that a grant of Rs. 1440/- has already been sanctioned in Resident's Proceedings No. 799 dated the 23rd January 1929 for the improvement of the pay and prospects of teachers.

S. 8. 8
7 345/28
May P

S. 8. 2
71-1910
May S

The financial statements and subsidiary returns for arriving at the grants earned by the marginally institutions given in Resident's Proceedings No. 799 dated the 23rd January 1929 may also kindly be sent for reference and return. The

S. 8. 2
71-1910
May S

Washayan Mission Boys' Secondary School.
St. Alphonsus Secondary School.
G. V. S. Sahas School.
St. Ephraime Training School.

financial statements of the St. Joseph's Indian High School, R.B.A.N. M's High School and Goodwill's Girls

High School are retained. The subsidiary returns alone may be. The grants sanctioned in Resident's Proceedings 1353 dated the 8th February 1929 have been provisionally passed for payment pending decision of the question whether they are admissible under the existing rules.

S. 8. 8
May P

The favour of a very reply is requested.

(sd). S. Ruffin

Inspector of Schools, Bangalore, U.C.
P.N. 6/757 dated 14th March 1929

Telegraphic Address : Bangalore, BANGALORE.	GOVERNMENT OF INDIA The Pay and Accounts Office BANGALORE.	Telephone : Office 47
--	--	--------------------------

Ref. No. P.D. 4/220.

5th June 1929.

Enclosures

To

The Director of Public Instructions,

M A D R A S

RESIDENTIAL OFFICE,

File No. 5749
Date of receipt 12/6/29
File No. 21/18/29

Sir,

Subject:- Calculation of teaching grants admissible under the Grant-in-Aid Code for Indian Schools in the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the calculation of teaching grants for Secondary Schools in the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, is made according to the following formula which appears to have been introduced when the Educational Department of the Civil and Military Station was under ^{the} control of the Director of Public Instructions, Madras.

Rs.

A. Admissible expenditure.

Items 1 to 6 of the Financial Statement
(in form N-16)

..

B. (1) Amount of entrance fee collected.
Col. 14 of the Fee Return.

..

(2) Fees at half rates collected.
Col. 11 of the Fee Return.

..

(3) Fees at full rates collected in I to IV
standards - Col. 9 of the Fee Return.

..

(4) 4/5 of fees in classes above the IV
standard in Col. 9 of the Fee Return.

..

Grant earned * $\frac{A + B}{2}$ *

..

May X
X,
The rules governing the calculation of the teaching grants for Secondary Schools in the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, are rules 22 and 33 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, and on the same as the rules bearing the same numbers in the Grant-in-Aid Code of the Madras Educational Department corrected up to 1st January 1914. But it does not seem clear, as explained below, how your office held that the formula referred to above was in accordance with rules in the Grant-in-Aid Code of the Madras Educational Department (corrected up to 1914). Hence this reference.

2. Rule 32 indicates how the 'Guaranteed income' has to be arrived at and Rule 33 lays down that 'the grant allotted to an institution will ordinarily be equal in amount to the income guaranteed up to annually provided under rule 32' never allowing out of account such portion of that income as is required to meet the share to be borne by the Management of all expenditure in aid of which grants other than teaching grants for Secondary Schools are admissible under the Grant-in-Aid Code.

It appears therefore that in working out the allotment ordinarily admissible for a school, the first thing to find out is the 'Guaranteed income' of the school. The financial statement which is prescribed in Rule 37 is evidently intended to help one in arriving at the guaranteed income and fixing the amount of Government allotment. If so, the following would seem to be the proper method of calculation:-

A. Guaranteed Income of the Institution. (Rule 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, 1914.)

1 (a)	Income from endowments.	..
(b)	do- subscriptions.	..
(c)	do- donations.	..
(d)	do- other private sources.	..
Total.		..

Note: Items 2 & 3 on the receipt side of the financial statement in form Edl.B-16 give the figures for (a), (b) & (d). It is not clear whether receipts 'other private sources' are those entered against item 6, 'Miscellaneous Receipts', in the financial statement. It does not appear to mean or include 'school fee income'.

3. Deduct	(e) Income reserved by the Management for expenditure on scholarships.	..
	(f) -do- -do- -do- defraying any fees.	..
	Calculated at standard rates and those actually collected.	..
	Total.	..

Note: It seems that the amount of scholarships allowed by the Management should be deducted from the income to arrive at the "Guaranteed income"; similarly, that any difference between standard rates of fees and concessional rates which the Management may allow should be deducted. Presumably the standard rates are here supposed to be higher than the actual rates charged.

Rs. a. p.

3. Add	(f) $\frac{1}{2}$ the amount of the fee income foregone under Rule 108 of the Madras Educational Rules in respect of Mohammedans, Orphans, and backward classes and castes.	..
		..

4. Deduct (Vide Rule 23 of the Grant-in-Aid Code)

(g) Portion of the above income required to meet the share to be borne by the Management of all expenditure in aid of which grants are sanctionable for other than teaching in Secondary Schools; e.g.:-

i. Building Grant.	..
ii. Teaching grants for Colleges and schools for special education.	..
iii. Grants for furniture, books, and appliances.	..
iv. Grant for Provident Fund.	..

Note: The figures required are apparently the difference between the entries against item 5, 'Grant-in-Aid received', on the receipt side and those against items 7, 8, 9 &c., on the expenditure side of the financial statement.

A. GUARANTEED INCOME.

..

The allotment to which the school is eligible would be equal to A.

The purpose for which this allotment is given is for expenditure on items described in items 1 to 6 on the expenditure side of the financial statement.

5. It makes a considerable difference whether the formula now in use or the method given in the previous paragraph which appears to be in accordance with the relevant rules in the Grant-in-Aid Code, is applied in working out the permissible grant. *As an* illustration I work out below and the grant earned by an institution, copies of the financial statement and fee return of which are enclosed, according to the two methods.

By the existing method.

	Rs. n. p.
A. Admissible expenditure items 1 to 6 of the financial statement.	<u>20,007 10 11</u>
B. (1) Amount of entrance fees collected. Col.14 of the Fee Return.	120 4 0
(2) Fees at half rates collected. Col.11 of the Fee Return.	104 12 0
(3) Fees at full rates collected in the I to IV standards - Col.9 of the Fee Return.	1,100 0 0
(4) 4/5 of fees in classes above the IV standard. Col.9 of the Fee Return.	<u>5,000 4 0</u>
	<u>5,324 5 0</u>

Grant earned. $\frac{A+B}{2} = \frac{20,007-10-11 + 5,324-5-0}{2}$

$= 11,665-7-8 = 5702/-$

By what seems to be the method intended by Rules 22 & 23.

Specified Income.

	Rs. n. p.	
B. (a) Income from Endowment.	2,400 0 0	(Vide item 2 of the Financial statement)
(b) -do- Subscriptions.	Nil.	(Item 3 -do- -do-)
(c) -do- Donations.	Nil.	-do-
(d) -do- Other private sources.	<u>0 4 0</u>	(Item 6 -do- -do-)
	<u>2,400 4 0</u>	

B. Deduct.

- (a) Income received by the Management for expenditure on scholarships. Nil. (Vide item 15) on the receipt side of the financial statement.)
- (f) Income received for defraying any fees calculated at standard rates and those actually collected. Nil. (As the fees calculated at standard rates are less than the actual fees collected.)

- (g) Portion of the income to be borne by the Management of all expenditure in aid of which grants are sanctionable under other chapters of the Code other than teaching in Secondary Schools.

Building Grants.	92	8	0
Grants for furniture, books and appliances.	1022	12	0
	314	4	0
Provident Fund.	616	1	0
	3181	9	0

half
Add the amount of the fee income foregone under Rule 102 of the Madras Educational Rules in respect of Muhammadans etc., +104-12-0

2575-0-0
1217-4-0 (-) 3181 9 0

A. Guaranteed income. (a) 256-2-0.

As the guaranteed income of the institution works out to a minus figure it appears that the institution is entitled to no teaching grant from Government.

I may add that the financial statements of certain schools do not show any guaranteed income from Endowments, Subscriptions, Donations, or other private sources, and it is usual to take the fee income. (A on the receipt side of the financial statement) as the guaranteed income. Kindly say if this is correct. If so, in the above illustration, the guaranteed income would be

Rs. 2568/- plus 2522-4-0 (standard fees)

= 1217-4-0
(-) 3181-9-0
1966-3-0

4. A reference to Rules 34 & 35 is also invited under Rule 24 a grant may be increased if any additional standard has been opened with the approval of the department or on account of any other approved increase in expenditure. It appears to me that the necessity for the rule is due to the fact that under Rule 34, the allotment was fixed for a triennium, but under the existing Rule 34, the allotment is made annually. I shall be grateful to know how Rule 35 (or the corresponding rule) is applied in practice: whether as giving unlimited powers to the sanctioning authority to give additional teaching grants, or whether as permitting the additional approved expenditure incurred by the institution to be taken into account in fixing the teaching grant under Rule 34 and 35.

5. In the financial statement, line 7, receipt side, the amount contributed by Management etc., has to be entered. Often it is left blank or the arithmetical difference ^{between} the receipt and expenditure side is entered. What the entry against item 7 is intended to represent may also be kindly stated.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) S. K. Appaswami

PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICER

VDV.

PB6/88013

Ue
10/6

Copy to the Secretary to the Resident in
Mysore, Bangalore for information in continuation -
of this office no. PB6/758 & 12-3-29.

S. K. Appaswami

Pay & Accounts Office

Ue
10/6

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA—FINANCE DEPARTMENT,

Pay and Accounts Office,

BANGALORE.

DATED the 1st November 1929.

S.N. 5
May T

Please see the correspondence ending with this office
Endorsement No.P.B.6-880B dated the 10th June 1929 forwarding
a copy of a letter addressed to the Director of Public Instruction
Madras regarding calculation of teaching grants to Secondary
Schools admissible under the Grant-in-Aid Code for Indian Schools
in the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore. A copy of the
Director of Public Instructions reply NoR.O.C.428D/29 dated the
24th July 1929 is enclosed herewith which admits that the
practice is not in accordance with the rules and states that the
Government of Madras are examining the question. That this
deviation from the rules would be adopted in actual practice
in Madras, seems to have been foreshadowed in G.O.No.356
Educational, dated the 21st May 1906, Vide extracts enclosed
herewith. As the Director of Public Instructions was then the
head of the Educational Department in the Civil and Military
Station, the practice adopted in the Madras Presidency appears
to have been followed here also without amending the rules
suitably.

Under the circumstances it seems sufficient if the
rules are now amended with the sanction of the Government of
India, so as to be in accord with the existing practice. The

Inspector of Schools may perhaps be requested to suggest the
necessary amendments.

S. S. S. S. S.

No. 20.727
of Receipts 4/11/29
No. 71/1620

The Secretary to the Resident, U.O.
No.P.B.190 dated the 1st November 1929.

8
3/11/29

Copy of letter No.R.O.C.No.428 D/29 dated the 24th
July 1929 from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to
the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.P.B.6-880 dated the
8th June 1929, I have the honour to note below the procedure at
present adopted in this Presidency in regard to the calculation
of teaching grants to aided Indian Secondary Schools.

The financial statement of receipts and charges of the
institutions relating to the previous year forms the basis for
calculating the grant to be paid to the schools during a year and
in general the grant is assessed on the half net cost system.
The net cost for purpose of grant is the difference between the
admitted expenditure and the net fee income reckoned at standard
rates. The expenditure incurred by the managements on items 1 to
6 and 15 in the expenditure side of the financial statement (a)
on staff servants including writer, rent, tax, ordinary repairs,
contingencies and other legitimate charges incurred in the
interests of the institution such as subscription to the
Educational portion of the Fort St. George Gazette, remuneration to
the auditor for auditing the accounts of the school and text books
purchased for teachers use etc., is taken into account and the
net fee income is determined as follows. Fees are calculated
at standard rates from all the pupils and to this is added the
arrear fees of former years - if any - collected during the year.
From the total is deducted the loss of fee income to the
management on account of irrecoverable fees and the net fee
income is arrived at. Full compensation for the loss of fee
income on account of award of fee concessions under rule 92 of
the Madras Educational Rules and half compensation for the fee
remissions made in accordance with a scheme approved under rule
32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code are also offered.

The grant is assessed on the above lines, but in
assigning the grant the provisions of rules 1 and 36 of the
Grant in aid Code and the amount contributed by the management
for the upkeep of the school as shown in Col. 2, 3, 6 and 8 on the
receipt side of the financial statement of the previous year
are taken into consideration.

The whole question of the method of calculating Teaching
grants is now under the consideration of Government in view of
the difficulty of strictly following the ruling of the Code and
of the possibility of different interpretations of the working.

"TRUE COPY"

W. P. Bannur
SUPERINTENDENT,
PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICE,
BANGALORE.

Extract from Government Order No. 356 Mal dated the 21st May 1906 in which letter No. 9816/05 dated the 7th December 1906 from the Director of Public Instructions was read.

Para 19 of Director of Public Instructions letter.

"Chapter IV deals with secondary schools. These have been treated in a different spirit and while the offer of aid is put in such a way that private funds might be supplemented to an equal amount by the grant and a school maintained in a thoroughly efficient condition this only applies to such portion of those funds as is not used in covering any loss of income, due to a low rate of fees or the payment of these fees in whole or in part by means of scholarships. Sufficient provision is otherwise made for the award of scholarships from public funds to brilliant but impecunious pupils and it is better that the department should control the selection and not individual managers. It has been assumed that fees at standard rates and not as in the existing Code at 10% below these rates may be collected. This will no doubt be considered by many managers to be a hardship. Calculations have been for many years based upon the rates so reduced, but I think that time has now fully come when the concession may be withdrawn in the case of these secondary schools. I would give on the other hand the greatest freedom in regard to the levy of fees in Elementary schools. It is even possible that the time has come when the standard rates for secondary schools might be slightly raised. The best schools have no difficulty in collecting fees at these rates.

As a school becomes more and more nearly self supporting from fees the grant and the contribution from the Management will automatically fall in equal proportions."

Para 6 of the Government Order.

"The grant to secondary schools will as heretofore be a grant to supplement the income guaranteed from endowment, subscriptions, donations and other private sources of income. secondary education is becoming more and more self supporting, and rules in regard to Secondary Schools have been made a little

little more stringent."

X

I

五

Para 7.

The code is primarily intended to deal with institutions under private management and to regulate the grant and public funds in aid of the private funds which maintain these institutions.

TRUE COPY.

Wambacher Ave

Superintendent

Pay and Accounts Office

BANGALORE

No. 3825 Enc. 1
71-1910.

From

Major G. Loch,
Secretary to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Law and Education Department.

Bangalore, the 27th April, 1930.

Teaching grants for Secondary Schools.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the last paragraph of letter R.O.C.No. 428 D/29, dated the 24th July, 1929, from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, (copy enclosed) in which it was stated that the whole question of the method of calculating teaching grants was under the consideration of the Madras Government in view of the difficulty of strictly following the rules laid down in the Grant-in-Aid Code and of the possibility of varying interpretations of the wording.

2. I am to enquire whether the Government of Madras have issued any orders on the subject and, if so, to request that, if there is no objection, a copy of the orders may kindly be supplied to help the Hon'ble the Resident in coming to a decision, on a similar difficulty which has arisen here.

3. If no orders have yet been issued, I am to enquire when the matter is likely to be settled.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

ofc
Major,
Secretary to the Resident.

6ms
26/4/30

ASB
25.4.

S.N. 6/A





Law & Education Department

Letter 1030B-10.8.5.30
Refce

From

Register No. 4934

Date of Receipt 8/5/30

File No. 71
10

A. F. W. Dixon Esq., I.C.S.,

Deputy Secretary to the Government of Madras.

To

The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,

Bangalore.

(Dated-S^uOnehouse Hill, Ootacamund, the 3-5-1930)

S i r,

With reference to Major Loch's letter No. 3525-71-^x

1910, dated 29-4-1930, I am directed to say that orders are likely to be issued shortly on the proposal under the consideration of this Government for revision of the existing system of teaching grants to aided secondary schools and that a copy of the orders passed will be communicated to you in due course.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Handwritten signature
5.5.30

CMG

Deputy Secretary.

Handwritten initials
V/S/22



Law (Education) Department.

No. 783 B-1.

From

A. F. W. Dixon Esquire, I. C. S.,

Deputy Secretary to the Government of Madras,

To

The Secretary to the Resident in Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

Dated Fort St. George, the 24th March 1931.

Sir,

Revision of the system of teaching grants
to aided secondary schools - Your letter No.1838/
71-1910, dated the 13th March 1931 -

I am directed to forward a copy of G.O.No. 112,
Education, dated 23rd January 1931 together with a copy of
G.O.No. 1157 Education, dated 12th June 1930 referred to in
it.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

3,216

Your most obedient servant,

Handwritten signature
26-3-31

Deputy Secretary to Government.

T.R.K.

Government of Madras

LAW AND EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1157, 12th June 1930

Secondary education—Survey of—Report of Special Officer—Orders passed.

READ—the following paper :—

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.O.C. No. 1388-D/25, dated 3rd May 1926.

Order—No. 1157, Education, dated 12th June 1930.

In G.O. No. 1549, Education, dated 4th September 1925, the Government appointed a Special Officer to conduct a survey of secondary education in the Presidency and to examine and report whether the system of subsidies to local bodies and grants to private agencies for secondary education required modification. The Special Officer, Mr. Statham, undertook a careful analysis of the position of secondary schools under local bodies and private agencies and made several important recommendations on matters of policy. He also brought to notice instances of schools which, on account of poor strength or for other causes, did not deserve the large grants which they received from Provincial funds and made suggestions for the closure of such schools or for their amalgamation with other schools. The Director of Public Instruction was requested to investigate, in consultation with the managements concerned, the feasibility of adopting these suggestions and to report the result to Government. The Director's reports relating to the several schools have already been received and suitable orders have been passed on them.

2. The other questions dealt with in the report of the Special Officer, particularly those relating to grants for schools under local bodies and those under private agencies, were reserved for separate consideration. The Government are now pleased to issue the following orders on them :—

(1) *Subsidies to local bodies towards the cost of maintenance of secondary schools.*—Subsidies to local bodies towards the cost of maintenance of secondary schools have generally been fixed with reference to the net cost of the schools or some fraction of the net cost. The Special Officer has suggested that each district board may be given a lump sum triennial subsidy not exceeding one-half of the net cost of all the schools under its management except in the case of backward and poor districts and that the system of half net cost subsidy may be adopted for municipal schools also. The acceptance of this suggestion will result in a reduction of subsidy in the case of schools in respect of which the entire net cost or a larger proportion than one-half of the net cost is now met from Provincial funds, and as such the proposal may not be acceptable to many of the local bodies. The Government do not therefore propose to adopt the suggestion. At the same time, they consider that a system of assessment of subsidies which involves laborious calculation of the net cost of each school under a local body should be replaced by a simpler one. An attempt to simplify it was made in 1926 when the Government sanctioned the introduction of a system of fixed subsidy liable to revision once in three years. That, however, merely meant that the calculation of what was due to local bodies under the complicated arrangement was unnecessary for two out of every three years. After careful consideration, the Government have decided that a system of paying lump sum subsidies to local bodies should be introduced from 1930–31. The lump sums will represent the amounts paid in 1929–30 to each board or council with an addition of 5 per cent. In cases in which new schools or classes have been opened at the expense of local bodies in recent years on the understanding that the applications for subsidies would be considered when the general question was settled, subsidies of one-half of the cost will be granted if the schools meet a felt need and if the local bodies stand in need of such subsidies.

Since 1918 liberal subsidies have been granted to local bodies to enable them to open secondary schools in backward localities in which there was no prospect of private agencies establishing institutions. The Government consider that except in the Agency tracts all parts of the Presidency are now provided with a reasonable number of secondary schools, and that in view of the fact that the cost to the Provincial funds of educating a pupil is much higher in a school under a local body than in an aided

institution, there is no longer any justification for periodically enhancing the subsidies paid to existing schools or for sanctioning subsidies for new schools. The Government, therefore, direct that the lump-sum subsidy to be sanctioned in the current year shall not be liable to revision in future nor shall any addition be made to it on account of new schools that may be opened hereafter by local bodies.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to submit proposals for the distribution of subsidies for the current year in accordance with these orders.

(2) *Subsidies to local bodies towards the cost of construction of buildings for secondary schools.*—Subsidies to local bodies towards the cost of construction of buildings for secondary schools are now fixed mainly with reference to the financial position of the local body concerned and the funds available with Government. The Government consider that a subsidy of one-half of the cost may be given for approved building schemes for existing schools, irrespective of the financial position of the local body, so far as funds permit, a fixed sum being allotted for the purpose each year. No such subsidy will, however, be granted for new schools which may be opened in future. The Director is requested to draw up a priority list of school buildings for which subsidies are required from Provincial funds, preference being given to the worst-housed schools.

(3) *Grants-in-aid to schools under private managements.*—The Special Officer has recommended the adoption of a system of capitation grants subject to certain safeguards for schools under private management. Both the permanent and the late acting Director are against this proposal. The Government are also of the opinion that the capitation grant system is not suited to the conditions in this Presidency. They agree with the Director that the present system of giving grants to schools under private managements should be liberalized by the adoption of the following changes :—

(i) Fees raised in excess of the standard rates should be treated as the management's contribution for the purpose of assessment of grant; and

(ii) registered managements of schools should be allowed to retain the profits made during the year subject to the condition that the money thus retained is not allowed to accumulate but is spent with the approval of the Director on improvements to the school.

The Government also accept the suggestion that schools under private management should have an average daily attendance of 45 pupils in forms I to III in the case of middle schools and in forms IV to VI in the case of high schools in order to be eligible for aid. The Director will have the power to relax this condition in special cases.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to submit a draft of the amendments to the Grant-in-Aid Code necessitated by these orders.

(4) *Contributions of local bodies to schools under private management.*—The Special Officer suggests that rich district boards may be asked to contribute towards the cost of maintenance of schools under private management situated in the areas within their jurisdiction. The Government have no objection to permitting local bodies to make such contributions but cannot compel them to do so.

(5) *Prescribing maximum scales of salaries of teachers and of expenditure on books and equipment for purposes of assessment of grants.*—The Special Officer suggests that maximum scales of salaries of teachers and of the expenditure that may be incurred each year on books and equipment may be prescribed for purposes of assessment of grants to aided schools. The Government consider that there are serious objections to these proposals and are unable to accept them.

(6) *Separation of primary departments of secondary schools from the secondary school proper for purposes of aid.*—The Special Officer suggests that primary classes of high and middle schools may be aided in the same manner as elementary schools. The Government consider that the separation of those classes from the higher classes of secondary schools will cause considerable administrative inconvenience and do not propose to accept the suggestion.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Education and Local Self-Government)

J. VENKATANARAYANA,
y to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
.. Accountant-General.
.. Finance Department.
.. Local Self-Government Department.
.. Presidents of District Boards.
.. Chairman of Municipal Councils.

Government of Madras.

LAW AND EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 112, 23rd January 1931

Grant-in-Aid Code—Rules relating to teaching grants to secondary schools—Amendments
—Ordered.

READ—the following papers :—

I

G.O. No. 1157, Education, dated the 12th June 1930.

II

Letter from R. G. GRIEVE, Esq., M.A., C.I.E., Acting Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to Government, Law (Education) Department, dated the 13th October 1930, R.O.C. No. 1886-D/25.

With reference to G.O. No. 1157, Law (Education) Department, dated 12th June 1930, I have the honour to submit herewith the draft of the amendments to the Grant-in-Aid Code necessitated by the orders issued in the Government Order :—

(1) The existing rule 23 of the Grant-in-Aid Code may be recast as follows :—

“ 23. A grant shall not be given to an institution which had not for the previous official year an average daily attendance of 45 pupils in Forms I to III in the case of middle schools or in Forms IV to VI in the case of high schools. The Director may, however, relax this rule in special cases.”

(2) It is proposed to introduce the other two points mentioned in the Government Order in rule 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code and as this rule, as it stands at present is not sufficiently clear, opportunity is taken to recast the rule. In this event rule 33 of the Grant-in-Aid Code may be omitted as being unnecessary—especially in view of the new amendment. Rule 34 of the Grant-in-Aid Code may also be omitted as it is not in accordance with the present practice, and rule 35 may be amended by the omission of the first two sub-paragraphs and the first clause of the third.

Proposals for revising the rules 32 to 35, Grant-in-Aid Code, are as follows :—

“(a) 32. *Fixing the amount of grant.*—The grant will be considered as supplementing the income provided by the management from other sources, and the amount of grant sanctioned in any one year will normally be based on half the net cost for the preceding financial year, i.e., half the difference between the approved recurring expenditure and the income realized by tuition fees at standard rates.

The ‘approved recurring expenditure’ will include approved items under teaching staff, establishment, rents, taxes, ordinary repairs and upkeep, contingencies and certain miscellaneous items, i.e., heads 1 to 6 and 15 on the expenditure side of the financial statement (Appendix F).

The ‘income provided by the management from other sources’ will include income from endowments, subscriptions, donations and other private sources, and income from fees including those levied at rates higher than the standard.

Allowance will be made for scholarships and fee remissions up to a limit of 10 per cent of the fee income reckoned at standard rates, provided that the scheme for such scholarships and fee remissions has received the prior approval of the Director.

The full amount foregone as approved fee concessions given under rule 92 of the Madras Educational Rules will be refunded to the management.

Registered managements of schools will be allowed to retain any profits they may make during the year, subject to the condition that the money thus retained is not allowed to accumulate, but is spent with the approval of the Director on improvements to the school.

(3) *Rules 33 and 34 may be deleted.*

(4) *Rule 35—Amount of grant payable.*—The amount of grant payable in any one year may be decreased, should the expenditure be considered as unreasonable, or on account of deterioration of efficiency, or on account of failure to remedy defects in organization, discipline, instruction or accommodation pointed out in writing after a previous inspection, or as the effect of the operation of rule 24 or 36, or on account of insufficiency of funds."

2. I request that I may be favoured with the early orders of Government on this, so that the amendments may be incorporated in the revised edition of the Grant-in-Aid Code which is now in the Press.

Order—No. 112, Education, dated 23rd January 1931.

The following notification will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* :—

NOTIFICATION.

The following amendments will be made to the Grant-in-aid Code :—

(1) *Rule 23.*—*Substitute the following for this rule :—*

"A grant shall not be given to an institution which had not for the previous official year an average daily attendance of 45 pupils in Forms I to III in the case of middle schools or in Forms IV to VI in the case of high schools.

The Director may, however, relax this rule in special cases."

(2) *Rule 32.*—*Substitute the following for this rule :—*

"(i) The amount of grant payable in any one year will normally be based on half the approved net cost for the preceding financial year, i.e., half the excess of the approved recurring expenditure over the income from tuition fees reckoned at standard rates.

"Approved recurring expenditure will comprise such expenditure under teaching staff, establishment, rents, taxes, ordinary repairs and upkeep, contingencies and other miscellaneous items (items 1 to 6 and 15 on the expenditure side of the Financial statement—Appendix F) as the Director considers reasonable.

"(ii) In addition to the amount payable under sub-rule (i), the management will also be paid a grant equal to

(a) the loss in fee income on account of the award of fee concessions under rule 32 of the Madras Educational Rules, and

(b) half the expenditure incurred on scholarships and fee remissions up to a limit of 10 per cent of the fee income reckoned at standard rates provided that the scheme for such scholarships and fee remissions has received the prior approval of the Director.

"(iii) Registered managements of schools will be allowed to retain any profits they may make during the year subject to the condition that the money thus retained is not allowed to accumulate but is spent with the approval of the Director on improvements to the school."

(3) *Rules 33 and 34.*—*Omit these rules.*

(4) *Rule 35.*—*Substitute the following for this rule :—*

"The amount of grant payable in any one year may be decreased, should the expenditure be considered as unreasonable, or on account of deterioration of efficiency, or on account of failure to remedy defects in organization, discipline, instruction or accommodation pointed out in writing after a previous inspection, or as the result of the operation of rule 24 or 36, or on account of insufficiency of funds."

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Education and Excise).

A. F. W. DIXON
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Accountant General.
" Finance Department.
" Superintendent, Government Press, for publication of the notification.

DEPARTMENT.

File No. 71

BRANCH.

Serial No. 17

Draft

Letter
Memorandum
Telegram

Class for telegrams

Ordinary
Express
Urgent
Priority
Clear Line

*Only for
Foreign
Telegrams.

†Not for
Foreign
Telegrams.

To be issued on

Issued on

1st Reminder

2nd Reminder

3rd Reminder

Heading :

Case or Diary No.

If post copy of telegram required

Date of despatch of above

List of enclosures

*In original.

To be kindly returned.

(Code word for telegrams)

No. 2763 Dated 16th April 1931
From 2763
The Secretary to the Resident.

To

The Inspector of Schools,
Coorg and Bangalore.

Revision of the system of teaching
grants to aided Secondary Schools.

Sir,

I am directed to forward a copy of
*
the Madras Govt. Order No.112, Education,
dated the 23rd January, 1931, together
*
with a copy of G.O.No.1157, Education,
dated the 12th June, 1930, referred to
therein, and to request that you will
kindly forward to this office a draft
of ^{suitable} amendments to the Grant-in-Aid Code
for Indian Schools in the Civil and
Military Station of Bangalore on the
lines of the amendments made by the
Madras Govt. to their Grant-in-Aid Code.
I am also to request that you will
kindly explain how the amendments you
propose will affect the existing grants
to Secondary Schools.

I have etc.,

Secretary to the Resident

S. 5.

MGIPC-S1-788(G1)DCF-(G-245)-12-23-1930 Pads.

P.V. Krishnamammy
1-4-31-274

Telegraphic Address: Bangaots, BANGALORE.	GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, The Pay and Accounts Office, BANGALORE.	Telephone: Office 47
--	--	-------------------------

Ref. No. P.B.243,

21st April 1931.

Enclosures *me*

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I have the honour to invite a reference to para 4 of the Resident's Proceedings No.917, dated 9-2-1931 regarding the amendment of Rules 32, 33 and 35 of the Grant-in-Aid Code for Indian Schools in the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore and to state that the Government of Madras have in their order No.G.O.P.112, Law (Education), dated 23-1-1931 amended the rules regarding the method of calculation and payment of Teaching Grants so as to be in accordance with the practice (Vide copy of the orders herewith enclosed). It is requested that steps may kindly be taken at an early date to have the rules for the calculation and payment of Teaching grants in the Civil and Military Station suitably amended with the sanction of the Government of India so that they be also in accordance with the existing practice.

The favour of very early action is requested.

I have the honour to be.


Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Srinivasan

Pay & Accounts Officer

S



5

DEPARTMENT.

File No. 71
Serial No. 510
17

BRANCH.

Draft

Letter
Memorandum
Telegram

Class for telegrams

Ordinary
Express
Urgent
Priority
Clear Line

*Only for Foreign Telegrams.
†Not for Foreign Telegrams.

No. 2763 Dated 16th April 1931
From 2-4-2

The Secretary to the Resident.

To

The Inspector of Schools,
Coorg and Bangalore.

Revision of the system of teaching
grants to aided Secondary Schools.

Sir,

I am directed to forward a copy of
the Madras Govt. Order No.112, Education,
dated the 23rd January, 1931, together
with a copy of G.O.No.1157, Education,
dated the 12th June, 1930, referred to
therein, and to request that you will
kindly forward to this office a draft
of ^{suitable} amendments to the Grant-in-Aid Code
for Indian Schools in the Civil and
Military Station of Bangalore on the
lines of the amendments made by the
Madras Govt. to their Grant-in-Aid Code.
I am also to request that you will
kindly explain how the amendments you
propose will affect the existing grants
to Secondary Schools.

I have etc.,

Secretary to the Resident.

P.V. Krishnamammy
1-4-31-274

- 1st Reminder
- 2nd Reminder
- 3rd Reminder
- Heading :
- Case or Diary No.
- If post copy of telegram required
- Date of despatch of above
- List of enclosures

To be issued on

Issued on

(Code word for telegrams.)

*In original.
To be kindly returned.

day X

day X

S.N. 16/4

Ed. Res. 15/4/31

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Received - - - - - 1931.

Registered - - - - - 1931

ABSTRACT.

Grant - in - Aid - Code - Rules relating to teaching grants to secondary schools - amendments - Ordered.

Law (Education) Department.

G.O. No.P.112.

dated the 23rd January 1931.

Read the following:-

G.O. No.1157, Education, dated 12th June 1930.

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.O.C. No.1386-D/25,
dated 13th October 1930.

.....

O R D E R.

The following notification will be published in the Fort St.
George Gazette:-

N O T I F I C A T I O N.

The following amendments will be made to the Grant-in-Aid Code:-

(1) Rule 23.

Substitute the following for this rule:-

"A grant shall not be given to an institution which had not for the previous official year an average daily attendance of 45 pupils in Forms I to III in the case of middle schools or in Forms IV to VI in the case of High Schools.

The Director may, however, relax this rule in special cases"

(2) Rule 32.

Substitute the following for this rule:-

(i) The amount of grant payable in any one year will normally be based on half the approved net cost for the preceding financial year, i.e., half the excess of the approved recurring expenditure over the income from tuition fees reckoned at standard rates.

"Approved recurring expenditure will comprise such expenditure under teaching staff, establishment, rents, taxes, ordinary repairs and upkeep, contingencies and other miscellaneous items (items 1 to 6 and 15 on the expenditure side of the Financial statement - Appendix F) as the Director considers reasonable.

(ii) In addition to the amount payable under sub-rule (i) the management will also be paid a grant equal to,

(a) the loss in fee income on account of the award of fee concessions under Rule 92 of the Madras Educational Rules, and,

(b) half the expenditure incurred on scholarships and fee remissions up to a limit of 10 per. cent of the fee income reckoned at standard rates provided that the scheme for such scholarships and fee remissions has received the prior approval of the Director,

(iii) Registered managements of schools will be allowed to retain any profits they may make during the year subject to the condition that the money thus retained is not allowed to accumulate but is spent with the approval of the Director on improvements to the school."

(3) Rules 33 and 34.

OMIT these rules.

(4) Rule 35.

Substitute the following for this rule:-

"The amount of grant payable in any one year may be decreased, should the expenditure be considered as unreasonable, or on account of deterioration of efficiency, or on account of failure to remedy defects in organisation: discipline, instruction or accommodation pointed out in writing after a previous inspection, or as the result of the operation of Rule 24 or 36, or on account of insufficiency of funds".

(By Order of the Government, Ministry of Education & Excise).

Sd/- A.F.W. Dixon
Deputy Secretary to Government.

.....

TRUE COPY.

V. Sankaralingam

Superintendent,
Pay and Accounts Office,
BANGALORE.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 28th April 1931

All communications
should be addressed
to the Secretary to the
Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore,
by designation and not by name.

No. 3078 Enclos. /

A copy of the marginally* noted letter, ~~with enclosures~~, is forwarded

S.N. 20
PNC
*No. P.B. 243,

dated the 21st April, 1931,

from the Pay and Accounts

Officer, Bangalore.

to the Inspector of Schools, Coorg and Bangalor
in continuation of letter from this office
No. 2763, dated the 16th April, 1931. (cont)

1212-16-3-1929-5,000-RPB.

P.V. Krishnamurthy

25-4-31. S.N. 20

[Signature]
25/4
Secretary to the Resident.

File No. 71

1910

Collection No.

Mysore Residence

Pros. Nos. 1-4
Serial

Subject.

Grant in - code for Indian schools in
the C + M Station

Previous References.

Later References.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 71 of 1910

Letter No. _____ dated 3rd March 1910
 from the Managers of Certain Native Schools
 in the C.M. District

SUBJECT.

Revision of the Grant-in-Aid Code
 for Native Schools in the C.M. District.

It is submitted that the Managers of the Schools concerned should have approached the Educational authorities in the first instance & then the Resident, if necessary. There seems to be no objection ^{as desired by the Managers} furnished by an interview with the Resident at the present time at any rate until the representation has been considered by the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

2. If approved, the letter may, in original, be forwarded ~~to~~ D.O. to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, with a request that the Resident may be furnished with ^{his} views on the points raised therein (In the matter

of the rules of school fees referred to in para:
2 of the letter of the managers, the Director has ~~been~~
been furnished with the opinion of the Resident
in this office letter N.1569 of the 14th March 1910. H.R. file 48
In acknowledging receipt of the letter, the
managers may be informed that a further
communication will be made to them
in due course.

submitted for orders.

H.
14/5/10

P 15/5/10 (3) As proposed. It hardly
seems right that the
correct procedure should be
habitually required by these
people, the D.P.I. showed
signs of displeasure once
before and it is difficult
not to sympathise with him.
Perhaps if they were asked (in future)
to make their communication
whim and send a copy
simultaneously to us there
would be no cause for
complaint. H.R. 16/5

Rest

4. Please point out the correct
procedure for future guidance
a request then to submit their
representation to the proper
educational authority accordingly.
Then the Resident is in

Notes-continued.

provision of the opinion
of his educational adviser
on the points raised by the
Committee, he will be happy
to discuss the matter at
a personal interview, if
necessary.

J. L. F.

16/3.

Office please draft accordingly

h.c. 17/3

Draft is submitted for approval.

17/3/10

17/3/10

h.c. 18/3

S.2

Issued No. 1761 of 21st March 1910.

File No. $\frac{71}{1910}$
S.3.

Letter No. 2564, dated 3rd September 1910, from
the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

S U B J E C T.

Revision of the Grant-in-aid Code for Native Schools
in the C. & M. Station of Bangalore.

The Grant-in-Aid Code for Native Schools in the
Civil & Mily. Stn. of Bangalore was prepared by the
Director of Public Instruction, Madras, and approved
by the Presy. in August 1908. As there was reason to
believe that it might perhaps be possible to improve
the Code in certain matters affecting the convenience
of the Managers of Aided Schools, the Director was --
asked to address the Munl. Presdt. and the managers
with regard to the suitability of the Code, and to
forward a revised Code for consideration if any changes
were found desirable. After consultation, the Director
proposed a few amendments in the Code which were sanc-
tioned in this office Proceedings No. 2526, dated the
26th April 1909.

S. 133.2 $\frac{257}{96}$

S. 139 - *dv.*

S. 1 $\frac{71}{1910}$

S. 2 - *dv.*

S. 3 - *dv.*

2. In March 1910, the Managers of certain Native
Schools brought to the Resident's notice that the
Grant-in-aid Code needed revision in certain respects
and asked for an interview, but they were told to make
their representation to the Educational authority con-
cerned. This they did in April 1910, and their repre-
sentation is now submitted to the Resident with the
remarks of the Educational Officers concerned. The
points brought forward by the managers are noted be-
low in tabular form: —

P-T-O.

1.	(1) Grants-in-aid be given up to half ~~of~~ the average expenditure of Schools and the Managers be allowed to include fee collections in their contribution towards ~~the~~ other half of the expenditure.	The proposal is opposed to the principle of the aided system worked out in the Madras Presidency. Without giving up this principle, any increase -- which may be necessary on behalf of a School over and above the rate of rule 33 may be given under rule 35.
2.	(2) Rates of school-fees fixed a few years ago (corresponding with the Mysore rates) be retained.	May be acceded to.
3.	(3) The grant, for March 1909 (which has not been paid in consequence of the change made from payment of grants monthly in arrears to payment in advance for a year) be disbursed.	The proposal is fair; if ordered, increased allotment will be made in the current year's revised budget estimate.
4.	(4) In view of provisions of paras. 35 and 36 of the Code, paras. 17 and 34 are unnecessary and likely to give undue trouble to Managers.	Rule 17 does not refer to Secondary Schools with which alone the petition seems to be concerned. Rule 34 is favourable to management as it guarantees continuance of grant for at least 3 years.
5.	(5) Building grants be raised from 1/3rd to 1/2 of total cost	1/3rd grant is a little discouragingly low but under rule 42 higher rates of grant can be given in special cases and such cases will be duly considered.
6.	(6) Rule 26 be modified as it is not possible to secure -- trained teachers with language qualifications suitable to the work of Bangalore Schools	The Madras Educational Rules provide by the insertion of the word "ordinary" in rule 23 against cases where the management has made <u>all bona fide</u> efforts but have not been able to secure teachers -- possessing necessary qualifications.
7.	(7) Asking for grant-in-aids for scholarship in Native Schools similar to what is allowed in the case of European Schools.	This question was discussed in Inspector's letter No.161-Bg., dated 30th June 1909 to which Director's Proceedings No.4997, dated 7th August 1909 is a reply. The papers do not appear to have been -- communicated to this office.

Submitted for perusal of order

17/9/10
17/9/10

Recd

.....

The Inspector's suggestion may be accepted.

no remarks.

This has been disposed of to the advantage of the Schools in Resident's letter No.1569, dated 14th March 1910. (Sl. 2.F.48/1910.)

The point has been urged by the Managers probably because they were not informed of the orders of 14th March 1910 when they wrote their representation. The D.P.I. may be asked to inform the managers of Schools that the existing practice in this respect will be continued.

No objection to payment of the grants amounting Rs.1678-5-4 from Imperial Funds if charge can be met by reappropriation as proposed by the Sub-Asst. Inspector of Schools. (3 of sl.3(c)).

The payment of the grant for March 1910 may be sanctioned after obtaining the necessary re-appropriation statement.

Rule 34 does not affect management if school is working properly and is desirable as it enables Deptt. to periodically overhaul allotments. (Para.13 sl.146 F.257/'96.)

The rules in question are all *appears to be* necessary in the interest of both the management & Govt. and may be retained.

As the property becomes the absolute property of the management after a term of years, 1/3rd grant is probably sufficiently large. (Para.15 sl.146 F.257/'96.)

The petitioners may be informed that as the property in respect of which grant in-aid is *called grant* becomes the absolute property of the management after a term of years, 1/3rd grant admissible under the present will be sufficient in all ordinary cases. The rule however not preclude a higher *grant* than 1/3rd *being given in special case*.

The concession granted in this office letter No.3379, dated 7th June 1909 (sl.3 F.159 of '09) to Kanarese teachers will *help to minimize* the difficulty of securing qualified teachers.

The petitioners may be informed on the lines of the remarks of both the Inspector and the Director.

The suggestion is not approved as the system of scholarship grants did not work well in the Madras Presidency and was consequently abandoned. (Para.17 of sl.146 F.257/'96)

The petitioners may be informed as per Director's remarks.

1. The action proposed is sufficient.
2. The managers may be informed that their wish has already been granted *proposed*.
3. As proposed.
4. Rules may be retained.
5. The reply suggested may be given.
6. }
7. } as proposed.

4.

14.9 21/9/10

Margin for
binding not
to be written
on.

Imp letter is submitted for approval.

As.
2/9/60

22/9/60

Better I think send a separate memorandum
with the letter abbreviated, this arrangement
as is rather clumsy.

hfr. $\frac{23}{9}$

Drawn N° 5853 28/9/60

SL

20

PRESIDENT'S OFFICE	
Mysore	
Register No.	143
Date of Receipt	10-3-10
File No.	71/1910

the Manager of Native Schools in the
C. & M. Station of Bangalore.

March 3rd. 1910.

M. Fraser Esq., I.C.S., the

Manager of the British Resident in Mysore.

1. We the undersigned, having met together and fully discussed
the disabilities of the new Grant-in-Aid Code for Native
Schools in the C. & M. Station of Bangalore, have the honour to
submit hereby our conclusions to you for your kind consideration;

2. We consider that, in view of the backward condition of education
in Bangalore and for the reason that the present system of doing
business is a heavy financial burden on managers whom
they are not able to maintain, it is very desirable that events in
the C. & M. Station should be regulated as under the old Code, namely, that Government grants
be fixed at half the average expenditure of schools, and the man-
agers be allowed to include the free collections in their accounts
towards the other half of the expenditure. This system is
simple, clear and equitable, and sufficiently stable. It just
like the system in Mysore, and moreover, it is the system in force
in the surrounding territories of the Mysore Government. The two
systems have nothing in common, and we believe that corresponding
improvements will be beneficial.

3. We desire to submit that it is desirable to retain the rate of
tax leviable in the schools on the C. & M. Station that was fixed
by the Director of Public Instruction in consulta-
tion with the Inspector-General of Education in Mysore, a rate which
corresponds with the Mysore rate and is somewhat lower than that
fixed in the Madras Code. Under the present Grant-in-Aid system

the grants are calculated on the Madras scale of fees, while that observed in the Station is the local one, with the result that Managers are again put to financial disadvantage.

3 We regret to note that when the change was made from payment of grants-in-aid monthly in arrears to payment in advance for the official educational year, 1909-10, the Grants for the month of March 1909, which could not be drawn until after the close of the official year 1908-9, have been debited against some schools in the current year, so that practically the grant for this month has not been paid. We hope that this month's amounts will be included in the budget for the coming year, so that no school shall lose a month's grant in the change.

We beg to submit that, in view of the provisions of paras. 35 & 36 of the Bangalore Grant-in-Aid Code, which give power to modify grants from time to time, the regulations expressed in paras. 17 & 18 are unnecessary, and are likely to give undue trouble to Managers.

5 We consider it a hardship that the Grant-in-Aid of erection of Buildings and extensive repairs has been reduced in the new Code from one-half to one-third of the total cost, and we respectfully urge that the old rule may be restored.

6 We have considered at length the question of the teaching staff of our schools. We find that it is not possible to secure Trained Teachers with language qualifications suitable to the work of Bangalore schools; to do their work efficiently a knowledge of three or four vernaculars is often necessary; and hence we suggest that it is necessary to modify Rule 26 of the Code.

We learn that scholarships are awarded to European schools in the Station on the results of competitive examinations, and that the Managers of such schools are given Grants for the same. We believe that Grants-in-Aid for scholarships in Native schools on the same or similar lines would be a stimulus to education generally, and would help poor and deserving students.

We shall consider it a favour if you will kindly give us an interview at an early date so that we may be able personally in conversation to state some of the above matters more fully and clearly and to hear your views upon them.

We have the honour to be, Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

William Garrison Esq. B. B. Ariel Wharves
any Franklin's Institution

Mr. d. Bease principal, St. Joseph's High School, Ind. La.

M. Annalakshy, Madhav, C.V.S. Sabha School

Parasitology. T. P. B. Mission.

روایت سرافعی مدینہ شام محمد عبد الغفار القادری

F. Kyssepe Olysin, School

Goodwill, Wesleyan Tamil Mission

J. L. Potter C. F. J. Harrison

(Continuation of Draft).

the Inspector or Inspectress
of Schools concerned, & is
request therefore that your
present representation should
be submitted to the proper
Educational authority
accordingly. When the
Resident is in possession
of the opinion of his Educational
adviser on the points raised
in your representation,
he will be happy to discuss
the matter with you at
a personal interview,
if necessary.

I have, etc,


W. H. 18/3

First Am^E Resident

17/3/10

17/3/10

K. 19.3.10



15
4/7/10

3

N. Ref. on C.No. 3564 of 1910 dated 2nd September 1910.

Submitted to the Resident in Mysore.

2. Most of the questions raised in the Mahazar have been

sc. 2nd file 257
file 48/1896 fully dealt with by me already. (Vide my D.O. letter No. 32 dated 13th March 1909).

3. With regard to the rate of fees mentioned in para 2, the Resident has already decided that the Civil ~~and~~ Military Station is governed by the conditions prevailing in the surrounding Mysore State and that the schools in the station deserve special treatment. (Vide the First Assistant

sc. 2nd file 48/1896 Resident's letter No. 1569 dated 14th March 1910).

4. In addition to the Inspector's remarks regarding representation, it may be mentioned that the concession

sc. 2nd file 159 granted in Resident's letter No. 3379 dated 7th June 1909 to Canarese teachers will further minimise the difficulty pointed out therein

5. As regards arrears of grant due to some of the elementary, secondary and technical schools (Vide statements enclosed), there seems to be no objection to their payment wholly from Imperial funds provided the additional funds can be met by reappropriation out of the current year's Budget Estimate as pointed out in para 3 of the Sub Assistant Inspector's letter No. 274 dated 11th August 1910, a copy of which is enclosed herewith.

G. Krishnamoorthy
for Director of Public Instruction.

K M.R.B.

3(a)

Bangalore,

16th April 1910.

29th

From

The Managers of Native Schools in

The Civil & Military Station of

B A N G A L O R E.

To

The Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore,

(Thro' the Inspector of Schools, IV Circle, Madras).

Sir,

We the undersigned, having met together and fully -- discussed some of the disabilities of the new Grant-in-Aid Code for Native Schools in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore have the honour to submit hereby our conclusions to you for your kind consideration.

1. We consider that, in view of the backward condition of education in Bangalore and for the reason that the present system of calculation of Grants-in-Aid throws a financial burden on Managers which they are not able to maintain, it is very desirable that Grants-in-Aid be calculated as under the old Code, namely, that Government grants be given up to half -- the average expenditure of schools, and the managers be allowed to include the fee collections in their contributions towards the other half of the expenditure. This system of Grant-in-Aid was clear and simple, and sufficiently stable. It justified itself in practice, and more over, it is the system in force in the surrounding territory of the Mysore Government. The two areas have educationally much in common, and we believe that corresponding regulations will be beneficial.

2. We beg to submit that it is desirable to retain the rate of fees leviable in the Schools of the Civil and Mil-

13

Military Station that was fixed a few years ago by the Director of Public Instruction, in consultation with the Inspector-General of education in Mysore - a rate which corresponds with the Mysore rate, and is somewhat lower than that fixed in the --- Madras Code. Under the present Grant-in-Aid system the Grants are calculated on the Madras scale of fees, while that observed in the station is the local one, with the result that the Managers are again put to financial disadvantage.

3. We regret to note that when the change was made from the payment of Grants-in-Aid monthly in arrears to payment in advance for the official educational year, 1909 - 10, the - Grants for the month of March 1909, which could not be drawn - until after the close of the official year 1908 - 9, have been debited against some schools in the current year, so that --- practically the grant for this month has not been paid. We hope that this month's amounts will be included in the budget for the coming year, so that no school shall lose a month's -- grant in the change.

4. We beg to submit that, in view of the provisions of paras 35 and 36 of the Bangalore Grant-in-Aid Code, which - give power to modify grants from time to time, the regulations expressed in paras 17 and 34 are unnecessary, and are likely to give undue trouble to Managers.

5. We consider it a hardship that the Grant-in-Aid of erection of Buildings and extensive repairs has been reduced in the new Code from one-half to one-third of the total cost, and we respectfully urge that the old rule may be restored.

6. We have considered at length the question of the teaching staff of our schools. We find that it is not possible to secure Trained Teachers with language qualifications suitable to the work of Bangalore Schools; to do their work efficiently a knowledge of three or four vernaculars is often necessary. - and hence we suggest that it is necessary to modify Rule 26 of

(11)

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS.

FOURTH CIRCLE, MADRAS,

N. R. O. No. 46 Bg OF 1910.
Drs.

Dated 24-5-1910.

Submitted to the Director of Public Instruction.

2. The question of special treatment to the Secondary Schools in the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore has been discussed at some length in this office letter no. N.R.C. 123 Bg of 09 dated 18-12-09.

8.1
a
7716 48
1910

Representation 1 of the managers - This is opposed to the principle of the aided system which has for some time been worked out in the Madras Presidency. Without giving up this principle any increase which may be necessary in behalf of a school over and above the rate of Rule 33 may be given under Rule 35.

Representation 2 - I think this is reasonable and may be ~~recommended~~ acceded to

Representation 3 - This is only fair and proposals will, if so ordered, be submitted for increased allotment in the current year's revised budget estimate.

Representation 4. - Rule 17 does not refer to Secondary Schools with which alone this ~~appears~~ seems to be concerned. Rule 34 is favourable to management as it is in a manner a guarantee of the continuance of grant for at least three years under ordinary

Circumstances.

(10)

Representation 5 I think the preparation of grant payable, viz $\frac{1}{3}$, is a little discouragingly low even in the Madras Presidency. But the Bangalore Code, unlike the Madras Code, provides by the use of the word "ordinarily" in Rule 42) for higher rates of grant in exceptional cases, and such cases will be duly considered.

Representation VI — The Educational Rules do provide (by the insertion of the word "ordinarily" in Rule 23) against cases where the management have made all bonafide efforts but have not been able to secure teachers possessing the necessary qualifications. Even in the Madras Presidency it has not been found possible to insist on a strict observance of Rule 23.

Representation VII — The question of ^{stipend} instruction of scholarships was discussed in my letter no. R.C. 161 Bg. of 09 dated 30-10-09 to which Proceedings Dis. no. 4997 of 09 dated 7-8-09 are a reply.

Respectfully,
A. K. K. K.

cc: Mr. S.

cc: Mr. S.

(9) 3 (C)

Letter from the Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools,
Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, No. 274 dated 11th
August 1910, to the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle,
Madras.

With reference to your endorsement No. R.C.46 Bg.,
dated 12th July 1910, I have the honour to submit herewith
two statements one showing the names of the schools aided
from Imperial Funds and the other of those aided from
Municipal Funds together with the amount of grant due to
them.

2. Most of the schools in the station have been aided
for several years ^{and} ~~at~~ it was not possible to trace from the
records whether in the first year of their aid they did not
receive grant with effect from the 1st March of the year of
aid in which case the amount for March 1909 would have been
an overpayment. The grant for March 1909 was not paid to
any school aided from Municipal Funds while the bills for
the month in the case of schools aided from Imperial Funds
were countersigned in April by the First Assistant Resident
and paid. These grants were, however, deducted from the
annual allotment when the bills for the official year 1909-10
were issued under orders conveyed in Proceedings NADis.

3089/7 dated 14th May '09 of the Director of Public Instruction

3. There is no provision in the Imperial and Municipal
Budgets for paying the grants under reference and it is not
likely that the President, Municipal Commission will sanction
an additional allotment during the current year. I therefore
beg to suggest that the whole amount of Rs 945-0-0 plus
683-5-4 or Rs 1,628-5-4 ¹¹⁷⁸ paid from Imperial Funds by reappro-
priation from savings in the amounts provided under 'scholar-
ships' and 'Building and furniture grants' in the Imperial
Budget for the current year.

No. 5853
2-1

4

FROM

MAJOR W. G. GREY, I. A.,

FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

The Secretary,
Rai Bahadur A. Narainsamy Woodalilar's
Institutions and the Managers of certain
other Native Schools in Civil and Military
Station of Bangalore.

Dated Bangalore, 28th September 1910.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 16th April 1910 (forwarded through the Inspector of Schools, 4th Circle), on the subject of the Grant-in-Aid Code for Native Schools in the Civil and --- Military Station of Bangalore.

2. In reply, I am to state that the Hon'ble the Resident has carefully considered your representation, and I am to communicate in the attached memorandum his views on the several points raised.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) W. G. Grey, Major,
First Assistant Resident.

No.

K.H.
26.9.10.

R.P.B.—9-1910.—100.

P. 10

No. 5854 2 - 1.

A copy of the foregoing letter and its enclosure is forwarded herewith to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, for information, with reference to his memo. No. 3564, dated the 3rd September 1910, and with the request that in the matter of the payment of grant-in-aid for March 1909, the necessary reappropriation statement may be forwarded for the Resident's further consideration.

3

S. W. S. S. S.
Major,
First Assistant Resident.

K.M.
26.9.10.

OC ru

7c ② 4/a

Memorandum to ^{accompanying} ~~the~~ letter No. 5853 Dated 28th September 1910 from
F. R. Bangalore to the Secy. - R. B. A. N. Madalain's Institutions and Managers
of certain other Native Schools. ~~at Bangalore~~

Points raised.

Decision.

1. Grants-in-aid be given up to half the average expenditure of schools and the managers be allowed to include fee collections in their contribution towards the other half of the expenditure.

The proposal is opposed to the principle of the aided system followed in the Madras Presidency and adopted in the Civil and Military Station. Without giving up this principle any increase which may be necessary on behalf of a school over and above the rate admissible under rule 33 can be given under rule 35 of the Code.

2. The existing rates of School-fees fixed a few years ago (corresponding with the Mysore Rates) be retained.

Orders have already been issued for the continuance of the existing rates of fees.

3. The grant for March 1909 be disbursed.

The Resident is inclined to sanction the payment of grant for March 1909 provided the extra expenditure involved could be met from the current year's budget. The Educational authorities are being consulted in the matter.

4. In view of provisions of paras 35 and 36 of the Code, paras. 17 and 34 are unnecessary.

Rule 17 does not refer to Secondary Schools, and Rule 34 provides for the continuance of the grant for 3 years at a time and for periodical revision of the allotments based on the efficiency of the Schools. The rules appear to be necessary in the interests of both the Management and the Government.

Points raised.

Decision.

5. Building grants be raised from 1/3rd to 1/2 of total cost.

As the property in respect of which grant-in-aid is sanctioned becomes the absolute property of the Management after a term of years, 1/3rd grant admissible under the present rule would be sufficient in all ordinary cases. The rule, however, does not preclude a higher grant than 1/3rd being given in special cases.

6. Rule 26 be modified as it is not possible to secure trained teachers with language qualifications suitable to the work of Kanakore Schools.

The Mysore Educational Rules provide by the insertion of the word "ordinary" in rule 23 against cases where the management has made all bona fide efforts but have not been able to secure --- teachers possessing necessary -- qualifications. The exemption of Kanakore teachers holding Teacher's Certificates issued by the Mysore Educational Department -- from the operation of rule 26 of the Grant-in-Aid Code will further minimise the difficulty of securing qualified teachers. No further action in the matter seems necessary at present.

7. Provision should be made for the grant of scholarships in Native Schools similar to those admissible to European Schools.

The system of scholarship grants in Native Schools did not work -- satisfactorily in the Mysore Presidency and was consequently abandoned. It is not considered advisable to introduce that system into the Civil and Military Stations.

A

File No. 59

Collection No.

1910.

JP
7.247

Impose Residence

KC
7/3.

Pros. Serial Nos. 1 - 20

Subject.

Sweeping charges of Police Stations
in the C + M Station

Previous References.

7 378/03
7 69/07
7 158/07
7 196/09

Later References.

7 107/14

File No. 15871907

41

Sweeping change of the office of the
 1st Dept of the Air, C + M. Nelson

of the R. ~~North~~ Code, Vol I,

Vol. 14. File 196
1909

3
RESIDENT'S OFFICE.
Note Sheet.

File No. 5971910

D. 3

D. 4

Letter No. 273 dated 24th February 1910
from the S^t. Supt. of Police, C. & M. Station
letter N^o. 503 dated 23rd February 1910 from the S^t. Judge,
C. & M. Station.

SUBJECT.

Sweeping changes of Police Station & Office of
the S^t. Judge in the C. & M. Station.

D. 3

The letter of 22nd Feb. 1881 quoted in
para 2 of the Police Superintendent's letter
is not available in this office, as it was
opened before the formation of the Residency.
It may be found in the Archives Office, but
it is not necessarily required for the disposal
of the present reference.

Sanction may be accorded as
desired by the Supt. of Police & the S^t. Judge.
Two drafts are submitted for approval.

H.
4/3/10

4/3/10

1/4.5

Issued N^o 1428-1438 8th March 1910

8th
5-86

(33)

Office Note.

File No. 59
1910

S. 11.

Letter No. 3613 dated 18th June 1917
from the Dist: Suptdt: of Police, C. & M. Str.

SUBJECT.

Requesting that the sweeping charges
of the Police Stations may be raised from
Rs 1 to Rs 1-8-0

(11)

If approved this may be sanctioned
Draft submitted for approval

[Signature]
20-6-17

[Signature]
21/6

[Signature]
21. VI

[Signature]
21/6

S. 12.

Issued no. 4856 on 25th June 1917

N: 13

Letter No. 6653, dated 22nd October 1917
from the Dist: Suptdt: of Police, C. & M. Str.

(2)

If approved this may be sanctioned
Draft is submitted for approval

[Signature]
26-10-17

[Signature]
26/10

Issue

S. 14

Issued no. 8234 on 29. 10. 1917

P. T. 6

Letter No: Bang. 4-13179, dated the 3rd Nov: 1917, from the Accountant-General, Madras.

Please see Sl. 14. It appears that 7/10th of the sweeping charges of the City Railway Police Station should be recovered from the Railway Company like other Railway Police Charges.

If approved the views of the D.S.P. may be obtained in the matter.

Draft submitted for approval. (with fair)

W
8-11-17.

AS
8/11

Sy. P.

Issued No. 8678 & 13. 11. 1917

Letter No: 7312, dated the 19th Nov: 1917, from the Dist: Supt: of Police, L. & M. Str, Bangalore.

Please see Sl: 15 & 16 & the office note in para. 3

Draft letter to the Accountant General is submitted for approval - with fair

W
22-11-17

AS
22/11

Sy. P.

Issued No. 8972 & 26th November 1917

Sl. 15

(3)

Marked for
binding not
to be written
on

S. 16.

Sl. 17.

(4)

S. 18.

Office Note.

File No. 59
10

Re-19

* Letter No. 6493 dated 18th Sept. 1919
from the Dist. Supt. of Police, 6 & 10 Sts,
Bangalore

SUBJECT.

Entertainment of an additional sweeper
on Rs. 7 per mensem for the police lines
at Shoolay.

The Dist. Supt. of Police asks for
the sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident
to the entertainment of an additional
sweeper on Rs. 7 per mensem for the
new police lines at Shoolay. There
are now 143 huts and 3 sweepers
have been entertained. The D. S. P.
wants one more sweeper for the new
28 huts which are under construction
If approved the proposal may be
sanctioned and the additional amount
required may be provided in the next
year's budget. It is presumed that the
extra expenditure during the current year
will be met by reappropriation.
Draft submitted for approval.

T. N. Thungasulu
Mudaliar.
29-9-19

AS
29/9

MS
30/9

20

Issued nos 7593.948 3. 10. 1919

S. 1, - R. P. B. - 81912, - 5,000.

P.B. 12-08-1910

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
MYSORE AND MYSORE STATE,
Mysore, 12th February 1910.

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
MYSORE AND MYSORE STATE,
BANGALORE

Major W.G. Grey, I.A.,
First Assistant to the Resident,
Mysore, Bangalore.

I have the honor to state that a charge of Rs. 3/-
per month is being monthly incurred from January 1899 on account of
Office charges, and this charge was all along paid
by the Accountant-General, Madras, without any objection.

The Accountant-General has now in his objection
No. A.B. dated 20th January 1910 asked for a
order under which this charge is drawn, have the honor to
in favour of the Resident's general account
maintained the monthly expenditure of Rs. 3/- above referred
charge can be met by the Contingency
Office expenses and Miscellaneous.

I have the honor to
be, Sir,
Yours faithfully,
C. D. [Signature]

(28)

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. *21*

File No. *17*

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

From

MAJOR W. G. GREY, I. A.,

1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The District Superintendent
Police, Co. in Station of Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 259 dated the 7th February 1910, I am directed to convey the sanction of the Resident ^{whereof} to an expenditure of Rs 3/- a month being incurred on account of sweeping charges of ~~the~~ your office.

The charge should be met from the allotment in the budget of the Bangalore Town under the head "Police - Contingencies - Office expenses & miscellaneous."

I am, etc.

W. G. Grey

1st Asst. Resident

P. T. O.

No 9329-1
2/15th Feb 1910.

8.44

Ex 10/2

(Continuation of Draft).

N^o 933

A copy of the foregoing
letter is forwarded herewith to the
~~to the Agents of the, & a section~~
~~of the~~ Accountant
General Madras, for information

Sy. M. S. S. S.
1st Mr. R. R. R.

Al.
11/2/10

11/2/10

Rev.
12 2 10

No. 273

Enclosure

FROM

25/2
M. P. W. - 12-08-800.
OFFICE OF THE DIST. Supt. of POLICE
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
Bangalore, 24 February 1920.

P. Hannington, Esq.,
DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

Major W. G. Grey, I. A.,
First Assistant to the
Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Accountant-General, Madras, has recently raised objection to the payment of the charges of Police Stations and has asked for a copy of the order under which the charges are incurred.

2. For the Police Stations noted in the margin, the (a)

Cleveland Town -----	Re.1	0	sanction was, I understand, conveyed
Cubbon Park -----	1	0	in letter No. 829/183 dated 22nd
Broadway -----	2	0	February 1887 from the Secretary to
B.II. Central Station -----	2	0	the Chief Commissioner of Mysore
			(Police Department), copy of which
			is not available here and cannot be
			transmitted in the Resident's Office.

(b)
Alsar ----- Re.1 0
Shoolay ----- 1 0
Infantry Road ----- 1 0
3. For the remaining Stations, I understand that no such sanction was obtained. I should be obliged if sanction could now be accorded for all the stations for communication to the Accountant-General, Madras. The rate will be 1/- one rupee per month per station.

4 The charge can be met from Head "Contingencies - Office
Expenses and Miscellaneous"

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'H. J. ...', is written over a horizontal line.

District Superintendent of Police.

4

(24)

4

26/1/10

R. P. R.—0-1909.—500.

THE DISTRICT COURT,

CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,

Bangalore, 23rd Feb 1910.

RESIDING OFFICE,
BANGALORE
Register No. 1172 N. 503
Date of Receipt 26.2.1910
File No. 59/10

FROM

A. Ramappa Mija Esq., B.A., B.L.
THE DISTRICT JUDGE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

TO

The First Assistant to the
Resident in Mysore

SIR,

I have the honour to state that an expenditure of Rupee one is being incurred monthly in this Court from a long time on account of cleaning charges and the expenditure is being charged to the contingent account.

2. The Accountant-General, Madras, has now for the first time taken objection to the charge on the ground that it requires the sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident. He has been informed that the necessary sanction will be obtained and sent to him in due course.

3. As the charge is unavoidable, I request that you will be so good as to obtain the Hon'ble the Resident's sanction.

to this expenditure monthly -

I have the honour to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Atamaya Dujia
District Judge

22

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 5

File No. 59

10

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

From

MAJOR W. G. GREY, I. A.,

1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The District Superintendent of Police,
Coimbatore Station of Bangalore

in

With reference to your
letter No. 273 dated the 24th February
1910, I am directed to convey
the sanction of the Resident to
an expenditure not exceeding
one rupee a month ^{for each Police Station} being
incurred on account of
sweeping charges of the
following Police Stations in
the Coimbatore Station of Bangalore:-

- Cleveland Town
- Libbim Park
- Broadway
- B. H. Central Station
- or General Hospital
- Alone
- Shooling
- Infantry Road

S. 2. No. 154A
1905

10.14.28

278 March 1910.

(Continuation of Draft).

2. The charge should be met from the allotment in the budget of the Assigned Trust under the head "Police - Contingencies - Office expenses & Miscellaneous".

Yours, etc,

W. S.

Asst. Commr. Resident

N. 429.

A copy of the foregoing letter is forwarded herewith to the Accountant General, Madras for information.

Sd 7/3/10

W. S.

Asst. Commr. Resident

4/3/10

4/3/10

Rev.
7/3

(20)

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 6

File No. 59

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

From

MAJOR W. G. GREY, I. A.,

1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The District Judge,
Coimbatore.

10.14.30

27/8 March 1910

Sir,

0.4

With reference to your letter
No. 503 dated the 23rd February 1910,
I am directed to convey the
sanction of the Resident to an
expenditure not exceeding one
rupee a month being incurred
on account of cleaning charges
of the office of District Judge,
Coimbatore.

2. The charge ^{shall} be met
from the allotment in the budget
of the assigned Trust under
the head 19 A. Law & Justice -
Court of Law - Civil & Criminal - Subordinate
Miscellaneous -

Yours, etc,

W. G. Grey

Dist. Secy. Resd.

P.T.O.

(Continuation of Draft).

N. 1431

A copy of the foregoing letter
is forwarded herewith to the
Accountant General, Quindao,
for information.

h. 5

1st Mr. Resident

4/3/10

4/3/10

4/3/10

4/3/10

Kell.

4/3

Sad 7/3/10

12/3/10 18 7

No. 287

R. P. B.—2-1910.—500.

OFFICE OF THE DIST. SUPT. OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,

Enclosure

Bangalore, 12th March 1910.

FROM

P. Hannington, Esquire, -Esq.,
DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

Major W. G. Grey, I. A.,
First Assistant to the
Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, BANGALORE.

SIR,

S.5 With reference to your letter No.1428 of 8th instant conveying the Hon'ble the Resident's sanction to an expenditure not exceeding one rupee a month being incurred on account of sweeping charges for each Police Station, I have the honor to
S.3 invite a reference to para 2 of my letter No.273 of 24th February 1910 and to request the favour of the Hon'ble the Resident's sanction to the expenditure of Rs.2/- a month for each of the

X	X
Broadway Station---	2/-
B II Central Station	2/-
or General Bazaar	
	marginally noted Police Stations up to the end of February 1910 for communication to the Accountant-General, Madras.

2. The sanction accorded in your letter under reference will be adhered to from 1st March 1910.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Hannington

District Superintendent of Police.

The matter was not clearly stated in the Police Dept. original letter of 24.2.1910. The proposal was made by the Commission. Draft is sent for approval.
15/3/10 P. 7/4/10 H. 7/3/10

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

17.

Serial No. 8

File No. 59

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

'10

From

MAJOR W. G. GREY, I. A.,

1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The District Supt. of Police,
(+ in Station of Bangalore)

Sir,

With reference to your
letter no. 287 dated the 12th March
1910, I am directed to convey
the sanction of the Resident
to an expenditure of Rs 2/- a
month being incurred in
sweeping charges of and in
respect of the municipally
noted police stations in the
(+ in station of Bangalore up
to the end of February 1910.

~~The change should be
made from the allotment
I have, etc,~~

W.G. 17/3

First Post Mysore

P.T.D.

No 1727
8/19th March 1910.

A.7

*
Banding
to II Central Station
or General Bangalore }

(Continuation of Draft).

N. 1728.

A copy of the foregoing
letter is forwarded herewith
to the Accountant General,
Madras, for information.

By M. S. Ganesan
Dist. Anti-Kennedy

MS.
15/3/10

MS.
15/4/10

Kell.
18/3.

No. 150

CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
Bangalore, 21st October 1910.

21/10

22/10/10
From
RESIDENT'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE
Register No. 6353
Date of Receipt 21.10.10
File No. 59 of 1910

P. Hannington, Esquire,
District Superintendent of Police,
Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

P. B. Warburton, Esquire, I. C. S.,
First Assistant to the Hon'ble the
Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to apply for favour of the Hon'ble
the Resident's sanction to the entertainment of a sweeper
for the outpost and the Police huts at Fraser Town at a
cost not exceeding one rupee per mensem. The cost, if
sanctioned, will be met from the allotment under contingen-
cies.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Hannington

District Superintendent of Police.

*may be sanctioned -
major letter is sent for approval.*

Tipu

22/10

Rest.

May

issue

24/10
148/24/10

RESIDENT'S OFFICE. (14)

Serial No. 10

File No. 57
10

[D R A F T .]—For approval.

From

P. B. Warburton Esq. J.C.S.,
Major W. G. GREY, I. A.,

1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The District Superintendent of Police
at the Station of Bangalore

No. 6510-1 dated
27th Oct. 1910

Sir,

With reference to your letter
No. 150 dated the 20th October 1910,
I am directed to convey the
sanction of the Resident to an
expenditure not exceeding one
rupee a month being incurred
on account of sweeping charges
of the outpost & Police huts
(now extensions)
at Dunder Town in the Coim
Station of Bangalore

2. The charge should be
met from the allotment in
the budget of the Assigned Trust
under the head "20 - Police -
Contingencies - Police expenses and
miscellaneous."

I have etc
P.B.W.
Private Secy to the Resident

(Continuation of Draft).

6511

A copy of the foregoing letter
is forwarded herewith to the Accountant
General, for information.

MBL

1st Vice-President.

22/10/60

KW
26.10.60

27/10/60

Bangalore, 18th June 1917.

No. 36/3 ENCLOS.

FROM

F. E. Coningham, Esquire,
District Superintendent of Police,
Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

TO

Major T. H. St. George Tucker,
First Assistant to the Hon'ble
the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to invite a reference to the following letters from your office conveying sanction to an expenditure of Re.1/- on account of sweeping charges of each of the Police stations:-

No.5255 D/- 29-10-1903. Bowringpet Station.

(Cleveland Town Station
(Cubbon Park Station.
(Broadway Station.
(Central Station.
(Alsoor Station.
(Shoolay Station.
(Infantry Road Station.

No.1428 D/- 8- 3- 1910.-

No.6510 D/- 27-10-1910. Fraser Town Out-Post.

2. In view of the scarcity and high price prevailing now, I would recommend an increase of 8 annas per station which, if sanctioned, can be met from head, 'Contingencies - Office Expenses and Miscellaneous'.


I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

F. E. Coningham

Dist. Supdt. of Police.



No. 4806

Draft
G. O. 45-B. P. B.-1-1917-10,000.
DATED BANGALORE 25 June 1917

12

(11)

FROM

F. H. James
MAJOR ~~F. H. James, F. H. James, F. H. James~~

TO

The District Superintendent of Police,
Civil and Military Station, of Bangalore.


Reference ^{my} letter ^{your} telegram No. 3613 dated 18th June 1917.

SUBJECT

Requesting that the sweeping charges of each of the police stations in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and Bowringpet may be raised from Rs. 1/- to Rs. 1-8-0 a month.

Sanctioned.

2. The charge should be met from the allotment in the budget of the Assigned Trust under the head "20 - Police - Contingencies Office expenses and Miscellaneous."


First Assistant Resident.

Copy to the Accountant General, Madras.

Handwritten notes:
22/6/17
528

Handwritten note:
228 fb

No. 665 ENCLLOS.

OFFICE OF THE DIST. SUPERINT. OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,

Bangalore, 13 October 1917.

FROM
RESIDENT'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE
Register No. 3052
Date of Receipt 24-10-17
File No. 591810
To

F. E. Coningham, Esquire,
District Superintendent of Police,
Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Major E. W. S. James,
First Assistant to the Hon'ble
the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to request that sanction may be
accorded for an expenditure of Rs.1-8-0 per month towards
sweeping charges of the City Railway Police Station.

The charge, if sanctioned, can be met from the
head "20 Police - Contingencies - Office Expenses and
Miscellaneous".

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

F. E. Coningham

Dist. Supdt. of Police.

No. 8234 . DATED BANGALORE

29th Oct 1917

From

Major W. H. S. Jones,
~~Major W. H. S. Jones, TUCKER, A.,~~
First Assistant Resident in Mysore

To

The District Superintendent of Police,
Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

N:13

Reference ^{my} _{your} letter ^{telegram} No 6653 dated 22nd October 1917

Subject

Requesting sanction to incur an expenditure of
Rs. 1-8-0 per month towards sweeping charges of the City
Railway Police Station.

Sanctioned.

2. The charge should be met from the allotment in the
Budget of the Assigned Tract under the head "20-Police-Con-
tingencies- Office expenses and miscellaneous".

with file
S/ A. R. C.
For First Assistant Resident.

✓ Copy to the Accountant General, Madras.

26-10-17

AS
26/10

It is requested that in future communications on the subject the No. and date of this letter, and the distinguishing letters above the number as given opposite, may be quoted. The communications should be addressed to—

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Post Box No. 801,
MADRAS, E

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

BANGALORE. ✓

Register No. 8357

Date of Receipt 5/11/17

No. 57/1510

20,000—8-8-17

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George, the 3rd of November 1917.

No. Bang. 4- 13129

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

ENCLOSURES.

The First Assistant

to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,

Bangalore.

SIR,

S. 14 With reference to Pro. No. 8234 dated the 29th October 1917 of the Hon'ble the Resident sanctioning an expenditure of Rs. 1-8-0 per mensem towards sweeping charges of the City Railway Police Station, I have the honour to enquire whether 7/10ths of the charge is recoverable from the Railway Company, like other Railway Police charges.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asstt. Accountant-General.

lt

⑦ 16

MYSORE RESIDENT'S OFFICE,
Bangalore, 13th Nov 1917

No. 86782-1

A copy of the marginally* noted letter, ~~with enclosure~~, is forwarded

Letter No. 15
26.15

No. 1399 dated 3-11-1917
from the Accountant-
General, Madras.

to the District Superintendent
of Police, S. & M. Station
Bangalore, for perusal of an
expression of his views in the matter.

G/c
with fair.



First Assistant Resident.

R. O. 178 (a) — R. P. B.—10-1917—500.

8-11-17.

13
8/11

Handed
9/11/17

No. 721. ENCLOS.

OFFICE

CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,

Bangalore, 7 November

1917

SIDEN

FROM

E. E. Coningham, Esquire,
District Superintendent of Police,
M. & M. Station, Bangalore.

TO

Major W. V. S. James,
First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resi-
dent in Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

S. 16 With reference to your No. 8678 dated the 13th
S. 15 instant communicating copy of letter No. Bang-4-13179
dated the 3rd idem from the Accountant-General, I have
the honor to state that 7/10 of the Sweeping charges of
the City Railway Police Station will be included in the
amount of contingencies recoverable from the Railway
Company.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E. E. Coningham

Dist. Supt. of Police.

R

(5)

No. 8972.

FROM

MAJOR E. H. S. JAMES,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

The Accountant General, Madras.

Dated Bangalore, 26th Nov 1917

SIR,

Re 15.

With reference to your letter No. Bang. 4-13179, dated the 3rd November 1917, regarding the expenditure of Rs.1-8-0 sanctioned on account of sweeping charges of the Bangalore City Railway Police Station, I am directed to state that 7/10ths of the charge are recoverable from the Madras and Southern Mahratta Railway Company like other Railway Police charges.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

G/c
with faith



First Assistant Resident.

22-11-17. *W.S.*
22/11

19

S. 22.—R. P. B.—8-1918.—10,000.
GRANT OF THE DIST. BOARD OF POLICE.

No. 6498 ENCLOS.

CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,

Bangalore, /8 September 1919

RESIDENT'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE.
Register No. 29
Date of Receipt 5/9/19
FROM

Recd
in 2998
TH

12
6/9

F. W. Coningham, Esquire,
District Superintendent of Police,
C. M. Station, Bangalore.

To

Major C. T. C. Plowden, I. A.,
First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to apply for sanction of the
Hon'ble the Resident for the entertainment of an additional
Sweeper on Rs. 7/- per mensem for the Police Lines at Shoolay.

2. There are at present 143 huts at Shoolay, and for
these, 3 Sweepers have been entertained one of whom was
sanctioned by the Government of India in 1885 and two by
the Hon'ble the Resident in 1914 (vide your office no.
10192 dated 16-12-14).

S. 68
69-07

3. Besides these 143 huts already in existence, 28
huts are now under construction for the additional force

<u>Old Line.</u>		sanctioned by the Govern- ment of India. As this will increase the total No. of huts to 171 and as it will not be possible for the present 3 Sweepers to attend to the entire lines satisfactorily, I would recommend the en- tertainment of a fourth
Block No. 7-----	21 huts.	
Block No. 8-----	14 huts.	
Block No. 9-----	10 huts.	
Block No. 10-----	30 huts.	
Total-----	75 huts.	75
<u>New Line.</u>		
Block A-----	10 huts.	
Block B-----	6 huts.	
Block C-----	16 huts.	
Block D-----	17 huts.	68
Block E-----	19 huts.	143
Total-----	68 huts.	

Sweeper with effect from the date ~~the~~ additional huts are completed
and handed over to the Police Department.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. Craig Rane

Dist. Supdt. of Police.
2

(2)

[DRAFT.]

From

Major B. T. B. Flounders, B. A.
1st Dist: to the Resident
in Mysore

To

The Dist: Supt: of Police,
C. & M. Station, Bangalore

No 7593-24

of 30 Oct 1919.

22.19.

Sir,

With reference to your
letter No: 6493, dated the
18th September 1919, I am
directed to communicate
the sanction of the Hon'ble
the Resident to the entertain-
ment of an additional sweepers
on Rs. 7 per mensem with
effect from the date of
appointment for the police
lines at Shoolay, C. & M.
Station, Bangalore..

2. The charge should
be met from the allotment
in the current year's
budget of the assigned
Tract under the head

" 20 A - Police - Dist: Executive

Force

(Continuation of Draft.)

Force - contingencies - Menial
charges."

3 have etc.

NR 30/6

First Instt: Resident

No.

A copy of the foregoing
letter is forwarded to the
Accountant General, Madras,
for information.

JK

First Instt: Resident.

T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar
29-9-19.

NR
29/9.

Exd
1/10

FILE No. 71
19 . 610

2
Collection No.

A
8/22
7.3.47
KL
7/3
~~Myson~~ Residing

Pros. Nos.
Serial

345 . 610-11

10.12.2021

Subject.

Teaching grants to Indian Secondary Schools.
Amendment of the Code of Regulations for
Indian Schools.

Previous References.

Later References.

S. 97. (Blue.)

MGIPC-PP-72KDCP-S&P-(G-1876)-14.3.22-60,000.